CLEAN: What techniques for washing fresh produce are associated with favorable food safety outcomes?

Conclusion

A limited body of evidence has shown that washing vegetables and fruit by running water over them at home or under laboratory simulation conditions is associated with reduced produce microbial loads.

Grade

Limited

Evidence Summary Overview

A total of three studies were reviewed regarding in-home techniques for washing fresh produce that are associated with favorable food safety outcomes such as reduced subsequent risk of home-based foodborne illnesses. All three studies (two non-randomized trials and one cross-sectional study) received neutral quality ratings.

Washing fresh produce at home is the last opportunity that consumers have to reduce potential pathogen loads in these foods before consuming them and is likely to help reduce food safety risks (Dharod et al, 2007b; Kilonzo-Nthenge et al, 2006; Parnell et al, 2005). Dharod et al, (2007b) demonstrated a significant reduction in total microbial and coliform counts associated with washing lettuce and tomato under running water in Puerto Rican households' home kitchens during preparation of a "chicken and salad" meal. Parnell et al, (2005) concluded that scrubbing melons with a clean brush under running water for 60 seconds is effective for Salmonella removal in the home setting. Kilonzo-Nthenge et al, (2006) also showed that washing produce under cold running tap water with rubbing and brushing, where applicable, has a potential to reduce surface bacterial contamination. Thus, providing consumer with information as to how to properly sanitize brushes should be a priority.

Evidence Summary Paragraphs

Kilonzo-Nthenge et al, 2006 (neutral quality), a non-randomized trial conducted in the US, determined the efficacy of different cleaning methods in reducing bacterial contamination on fresh produce in a home setting. Lettuce, broccoli, apples and tomatoes were inoculated with Listeria innocua and then subjected to combinations of the following cleaning procedures: (i) soak for two minutes in tap water, Veggie Wash solution, 5% vinegar solution, or 13% lemon solution and (ii) rinse under running tap water, rinse and rub under running tap water, brush under running tap water or wipe with wet/dry paper towel. The study found that pre-soaking in water before rinsing significantly reduced bacteria in apples, tomatoes and lettuce, but not in broccoli; wiping apples and tomatoes with wet or dry paper towel showed lower bacterial reductions compared with soaking and rinsing procedures; blossom ends of apples and flower sections of broccoli were more contaminated than the apple surface or broccoli stem, respectively, after soaking and rinsing; reductions of L. innocua in both tomatoes and apples (2.01 to 2.89 log CFU/g) were more than in lettuce and broccoli (1.41 to 1.88 log CFU/g) when subjected to same washing procedures; reductions of surface contamination of lettuce after soaking in lemon or vinegar solutions were not significantly different (P>0.05) from lettuce soaking in cold tap water. Results from this study suggest that washing produce under cold running tap water with rubbing and brushing, where applicable, has a potential to reduce surface bacterial contamination.

Parnell et al, 2005 (neutral quality), a non-randomized trial conducted in the US, evaluated the

efficacy of washing methods on the reduction of Salmonella on cantaloupes and honeydew melons that were collected directly from production fields in the Central Valley of California during peak production periods between August and September. Different numbers of melon samples were used in different experiments; melons were washed by immersion in 1,500ml of water or 200ppm total chlorine and allowed to soak or were scrubbed over the entire melon surface with a sterile vegetable brush for 60 seconds. Salmonella typhimurium was reduced on the rind of cantaloupe by 1.8 log CFU/melon after soaking for 60 seconds in 200ppm total chlorine, which was significantly better than the 0.7 log CFU/melon achieved with soaking in water, and scrubbing with a vegetable brush was shown to be significantly more effective (0.9 log CFU/melon) than soaking alone. Reductions of 2.8 log CFU/melon were observed when honeydew melons were soaked in water, and when scrubbed in water, the reductions increased to over 4.6 log CFU/melon.

Dharod et al, 2007b (neutral quality), a cross-sectional study, applied the Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) model at the household level to identify sanitation and food handling "Critical Control Points" for home prepared "Chicken and Salad" using direct observations and microbiological indicators. A sample of 60 Puerto Rican women recruited in inner city Hartford, Connecticut, were provided chicken breasts (CB), lettuce and tomatoes (LT), and spices to prepare a meal in their home kitchens; food and kitchen surface samples were collected during stages of food preparation and tested for total and coliform counts, and presence of pathogenic microrganisms; observed food handling behaviors were compared with microbial testing results. The following behaviors were observed: Of those who used the same cutting board to cut CB and LT, only 55% washed the cutting board with soap and water in between use and 13% of households used the same knife for cutting CB and LT without washing it in between. Total bacterial and coliform counts of LT were significantly higher for unwashed LT (whole or after cutting) than for washed samples. There was a significant positive correlation in coliform count between: Cutting board sample after its use and LT sample collected after handling (cutting or washing (if done)) (r=0.416, P=0.020).

□ View table in new window

Author, Year, Study Design, Class, Rating	Population/Sample Description and Location	Design/Variables	Results/Behavioral Outcomes/Significance	Limitations
Dharod et al, 2007b Study Design: Cross-sectional study Class: D Rating:	N=60 Puerto Rican women, main meal preparers of the household recruited from inner city Hartford, Connecticut. Mean age: 40 years. More than half (N=36) spoke only Spanish at home. Half (N=33) had less than a high school education. Half (N=33) had monthly income of ≤\$1,000. Most (N=51) were unemployed.	Design: Subjects were provided chicken breasts, lettuce, tomatoes and spices to prepare a meal in their home kitchens. Food and kitchen surface samples were collected during stages of food preparation and tested for total and coliform counts, and presence of Listeria, Campylobacter, Salmonella	The following behaviors were observed: Of those who used same cutting board to cut CB and LT, only 55% washed cutting board with soap and water in between use and 13% of households used same knife for cutting CB and LT without washing it in between. Total bacterial and coliform counts of LT significantly ↑ for unwashed LT (whole or after cutting) than for washed samples. Significant positive	None.

correlation in coliform aureus. count between: Cutting Observed food board sample after its handling use and LT sample behaviors were collected after handling compared with (cutting or washing (if microbial testing done)) (r=0.416, P=0.020). results and used to identify critical control points during the meal preparation. Kilonzo-Nthenge Samples of lettuce, Dependent Pre-soaking in water Small sample variable: Listeria A. Chen FC et tomatoes, apples before rinsing size. al, 2006 and broccoli were innocua (ATCC, significantly ↓ bacteria Limitations per purchased from 33090) (used as in apples, tomatoes and authors: a surrogate for L. lettuce, but not in Study Design: local grocery store Non-randomized in Nashville, monocytogenes). broccoli. Model system trial. Tennessee, on the used designed Independent Wiping apples and day to evaluate the variables: tomatoes with wet or dry Class: C before experiment effectiveness paper towel showed and stored in their Cleaning of cleaning lower bacterial 1 original boxes at procedures and methods after Rating: \ compared with soaking 40°C. materials used in a short period and rinsing procedures. of surface soaking and Location: United Blossom ends of apples rinsing. contamination States. and flower sections of on fresh Type of produce broccoli were more produce. (lettuce, broccoli, contaminated apples, tomato). Different fruit than apple surface or and vegetable broccoli stem, Parts of fruits and surfaces respectively, after vegetables (stem and coating soaking and rinsing. and blossom of materials apples, flower ⊥ of L. innocua in both applied during and stem of tomatoes and apples processing broccoli). (2.01 to 2.89 log CFU/g) might have were more than in affected the Inoculated lettuce and broccoli degree of recovery method (1.41 to 1.88 log CFU/g) attachment of (stomacher for when subjected to same bacteria, and lettuce and washing procedures. how easily broccoli; bacteria bacteria were detached from Reductions of surface washed off surface by hand contamination of lettuce during rubbing for two after soaking in lemon cleaning minutes in or vinegar solutions procedures. peptone water for were not significantly apple and different (P>0.05) from tomatoes). lettuce soaking in cold tap water.

Melons collected Parnell TL, Efficacy of Salmonella typhimurium Small number washing methods Harris LJ et al, directly from was ↓ on rind of of melon and production fields in on the ↓ of cantaloupe by 1.8 log cantaloupe 2005 the Central Valley of Salmonella on CFU per melon after samples. soaking for 60 seconds Study Design: California during cantaloupes and Non-randomized peak production honeydew in 200ppm total periods between melons was chlorine, which was trial August and evaluated. significantly better Class: C September. than 0.7 log CFU per Melons washed melon achieved with Different numbers by immersion in soaking in water. Rating: of melon 1,500ml of water samples used in or 200ppm total Scrubbing different chlorine and with vegetable experiments. allowed to soak brush shown to be or were scrubbed significantly more Location: United over entire melon effective (0.9 log CFU **States** per melon) than soaking surface with a sterile vegetable alone. brush for 60 ⊥ of 2.8 log CFU per seconds. melon observed when honevdew melons were soaked in water, and when scrubbed in water, the reductions ↑ to over 4.6 log CFU per melon.

Research Design and Implementation

For a summary of the Research Design and Implementation results, click here.

Worksheets

Dharod JM, Pérez-Escamilla R, Paciello S, Venkitanarayanan K, Bermúdez-Millán A, Damio G. Critical Control Points for Home Prepared 'Chicken and Salad' in Puerto Rican Households. Food Protection Trends 2007; 27: 544-552.

Kilonzo-Nthenge A, Chen FC, Godwin SL. Efficacy of home washing methods in controlling surface microbial contamination on fresh produce. J Food Prot. 2006 Feb; 69(2): 330-334.

Parnell TL, Harris LJ, Suslow TV. Reducing Salmonella on cantaloupes and honeydew melons using wash practices applicable to post-harvest handling, food service and consumer preparation. Int J Food Microbiol. 2005 Mar 1; 99 (1): 59-70.